SAMPLE RUBRICS

The following rubrics are shared with the permission of the programs as additional resources.

Institution	Rubric related to:	Page Number
Florida Atlantic University	Portfolio Project	<u>2 - 15</u>
Michigan State University	Student Learning Outcomes	<u> 16 - 23</u>
San Jose State University	Program Learning Outcomes	<u> 24 - 27</u>
Temple University	Studio Evaluation	<u>28 - 33</u>
Tufts University	Student Learning Outcomes	<u>34 - 45</u>
University of Colorado, Denver	Student Learning Outcomes	<u>46 - 53</u>
University of Florida	Student Learning Outcomes	<u>54 - 55</u>
University of New Mexico	Student Learning Outcomes	<u>56 - 58</u>



MURP Portfolio Requirements Spring 2019 Semester

Objectives of the Portfolio

The portfolio achieves two objectives: (1) it provides students with an opportunity to reflect upon their graduate education and relevant professional planning experiences and (2) it demonstrates attainment of desired learning outcomes. The learning outcomes are based on the Planning Accreditation Board's (PAB's) curriculum standards. Each student taking URP 6979 Planning Project or completing URP 6971 Master's Thesis must prepare a portfolio in order to receive a final grade. While the portfolio itself is not graded, it will be assessed by a committee of SURP faculty to determine the degree to which it demonstrates achievement of the learning outcomes. The committee then makes recommendations for course and curriculum changes to be considered by the entire faculty. In short, your portfolio helps the faculty make improvements to the MURP program by identifying how well you have been able to attain PAB learning objectives over the course of the program.

Format of the Portfolio

Drafts and final documents will be submitted in electronic form on Google Drive in the folders that will be provided for each student. Each student will have a folder that contains folders for each of the five assignments (see Deadlines below) plus a folder for the Appendix, which will contain examples of student work relevant to the learning outcomes (i.e., evidence of achievement of the outcomes). Students should add items to the Appendix folder as they are referred to in each of the assignments.

All drafts (except for the Appendix items) must be submitted in Word form. The final version of the portfolio documents can be in Word or PDF format.

Except for the appendices, all of the materials prepared for the portfolio should have a consistent font and style. Use a modern-looking format similar to one used for this document: a san serif font (such as Calibri), no indentation of paragraphs, and extra spacing between paragraphs.

Self-Assessments

The learning outcomes are organized into three parts—general planning knowledge, planning skills, and values and ethics—each of which has six subparts. Written self-assessments are required for each subpart of Learning Outcomes #1 and #2 and for three of the six subparts of Learning Outcome #3.

Students may choose the three subparts that they want to address for Learning Outcome #3. The written self-assessments should discuss how well your coursework has enabled you to achieve the outcome and the relevant evidence. Devote at least three paragraphs (a minimum of 500 words) to each subpart of each outcome, including one paragraph that defines the learning objective in your own words, one paragraph that discusses the coursework relevant to that objective, and one paragraph that discusses the relevant evidence included in the appendix of the portfolio. Be specific about the courses that were relevant to each outcome. Refer to courses by their course numbers and name (for example, URP 5958 Planning Abroad) the first time each course is mentioned and then by just the course number.

Evidence

Each self-assessment should refer explicitly to documents provided as evidence that are included in the portfolio. Documents should be referred to by both their title and their location (such as Site Analysis Report, Appendix B). You must explain what the item is (for example, a Site Analysis Report), for which course it was produced (for example, URP 6873 Site Planning), and how it demonstrates achievement of the relevant outcome. Evidence to be included in the portfolio's appendices may include: (1) graded assignments; (2) term or other papers and reports, including reports completed for URP 6920 Planning Workshop and URP 6979 Planning Project; (3) master's theses for students choosing the thesis option; (4) publications, including work completed for an assistantship; (5) work submitted as part of an internship or other employment occurring while enrolled as a student; and (6) any other relevant materials. Students are encouraged to be creative in identifying appropriate evidence, including copies of papers and reports as well as links to videos of presentations, web sites, or other electronic materials. Note that some forms of evidence may be relevant to more than one learning outcome; however, each item should be included in the appendix only once. A maximum of 15 items may be included in the appendix.

Label the evidence Appendix A, B, C, etc. in the order in which each item is first mentioned in the portfolio; hence, the first item of evidence referred to in the discussion of Learning Outcome #1A would be Appendix A. The table of contents should indicate what the appendix contains, such as:

Appendix A: URP 6101 Term Paper

Appendix B: URP 6945 Internship Report

Appendix C: URP 6873 Site Plan Analysis

Learning Outcomes Assessment Rubrics

Students should refer to the rubrics in Appendix II as guides for preparing their self-assessment narratives. Appendix II gives detailed definitions of each learning outcomes (based on the definitions given in the PAB's curriculum standards) and gives guidelines (rubrics) for assessing achievement of the outcomes. It also lists core courses that are intended to cover aspects of each outcome. Note that elective courses are also relevant to the outcomes and should be cited whenever appropriate. For example, students who complete URP 5958 Planning Abroad should cite that course when discussing achievement of Learning Outcome #1F. For another example, URP 6945 Planning Internship is also likely to be relevant to many of the outcomes.

Students must also complete the Learning Outcomes Assessment Form in Appendix III and include it in the portfolio. Faculty assessment committee members will use the same form to complete their assessments. The comments sections on the form can be used to discuss particular strengths or deficiencies.

Deadlines

Students completing URP 6979 Planning Project or URP 6971 Master's Thesis during the Spring 2019 semester should use the following schedule. Students intending to complete a Master's Thesis during the Summer 2019 term or Fall 2019 semester should check with Dr. Bourassa (see contact details below) regarding deadlines.

February 7: Drafts of autobiographical statement, resume, and self-assessments for Learning

Outcome #1 are due

March 14: Drafts of self-assessments for Learning Outcome #2 are due

March 29: Drafts of self-assessments for Learning Outcome #3 and personal reflection about your

future are due

April 18: Draft of complete portfolio due, including title page and table of contents

May 2: Final version of portfolio due, with all evidence from current semester incorporated

Feedback

Dr. Bourassa will provide assistance with preparing the portfolio, including feedback on each draft submission. Students must address the feedback when revising their drafts or else the final version of the portfolio will be sent back for further revision and submission of the final grade for the course will be delayed. Questions about the portfolio should be directed to him at sbourassa@fau.edu or (561) 297-4164.

Appendix I: Portfolio Contents

Title page

Table of contents

Autobiographical statement (about 500 words)

Current resume

Learning Outcome #1 – General planning knowledge: students will demonstrate knowledge of general planning concepts, including understanding of (each item requires a separate written self-assessment):

- A The purpose and meaning of planning
- B Planning theory
- C Planning law
- D Human settlements and the history of planning
- E The future
- F Global dimensions of planning

Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the skills required to be effective planners, including ability to (each item requires a separate written self-assessment):

- A Undertake research
- B Communicate at a professional level in written, oral, and graphic forms
- C Apply appropriate quantitative and qualitative methods
- D Apply planning methods to create plans and implement them
- E Apply planning procedures for engaging communities and stakeholders
- F Exercise leadership skills

Learning Outcome #3 – Values and ethics: students will demonstrate understanding of (three of the following items require separate written self-assessments):

- A Professional ethics and responsibility
- B Equity, diversity, and social justice
- C Governance and participation
- D Sustainability and environmental quality
- E Growth and development
- F Health and the built environment

Learning outcomes assessment forms (self- and faculty assessments)

Personal reflection about your future (about 1,500 words)

Appendices (evidence of learning outcomes in the form of completed assignments, papers, reports, theses, publications, work submitted as part of an internship or other employment, or other relevant materials; these should be labeled Appendix A, B, C, etc.)

Appendix II: Learning Outcomes Assessment Rubrics

Learning Outcome #1 – General planning knowledge: students will demonstrate knowledge of general planning concepts, including understanding of:

	Relevant core courses	Does not meet the standard (0 to 69%)	Approaches the standard (70 to 79%)	Meets the standard (80 to 89%)	Exceeds the standard (90 to 100%)
A The purpose and meaning of planning (why planning is undertaken by communities, cities, regions, and nations, and the impact planning is expected to have)	URP 6101 URP 6406 URP 6840 URP 6920 URP 6979	Does not demonstrate an understanding of the purpose and meaning of planning	Can explain in simple terms why planning is important	Can articulate why planning is important is various cases and contexts	Demonstrates a well-developed understanding of the purpose and meaning of planning in various contexts
B Planning theory (behaviors and structure available to bring about sound planning outcomes [note that this outcome refers to theories about the planning process, not theories about urban form or other aspects of planning])	URP 6101 URP 6115 URP 6406 URP 6979	May be able to identify one or more planning theories but is unable to apply those concepts to actual planning problems	Can identify multiple planning theories and apply them in very basic ways to actual planning problems	Displays a thorough understanding of key planning theories and can apply them to complex planning problems	Displays a deep and nuanced understanding of key planning theories and can apply them in particularly thoughtful and effective ways to complex and ambiguous planning problems

					,
C Planning law (legal	URP 6115	Cannot articulate any	Is familiar with some	Has a thorough	Has a thorough
and institutional	URP 6131	legal principles	important legal	familiarity with key	familiarity with key
contexts within which	URP 6873	applicable to planning	principles but cannot	components of	components of
planning occurs)	URP 6920		apply them to specific	statutory and	statutory and
	URP 6979		planning problems	constitutional law	constitutional law
				relevant to planning	relevant to planning
				and can apply that	and can apply that
				understanding to basic	understanding to
				planning issues	complex and
					ambiguous planning
					issues
D Human settlements	URP 6101	Conveys little	Displays some	Displays understanding	Displays a deep and
and the history of	URP 6406	understanding of the	understanding of the	of the causes of human	nuanced
planning (growth and	URP 6840	causes of human	causes of human	settlement patterns	understanding of the
development of places	URP 6920	settlement patterns	settlement patterns	and the history of	causes of human
over time and across	URP 6979	and the history of	and the history of	planning and how to	settlement patterns
space)		planning or how these	planning, but is unclear	apply this	and the history of
		are relevant to the	about how these are	understanding to the	planning and how to
		solution of current	relevant to the solution	solution of current	apply this
		planning problems	of current planning	planning problems	understanding to the
			problems		solution of complex
					and ambiguous
					planning problems
E The future	URP 6101	Cannot identify	Identifies some	Understands methods	Has a thorough
(relationships	URP 6131	methods commonly	methods commonly	commonly used by	understanding of the
between past,	URP 6200	used by planners to	used by planners to	planners to design,	range of methods used
present, and future in	URP 6406	design, analyze, and	design, analyze, and	analyze, and influence	by planners to design,
planning domains, as	URP 6920	influence the future	influence the future,	the future and how to	analyze, and influence
well as the potential	URP 6979		but is uncertain about	apply them in practice	the future and how to
for methods of design,			their application		apply them effectively
analysis, and					in practice
intervention to					
influence the future)					

Florida Atlantic University Revised: October 30, 2018

F The global	URP 6406	Cannot identify the	Identifies the basic	Can identify major	Uses deep knowledge
dimensions of	URP 6840	basic roles of any	roles of global	elements of global	of the historic and
planning (interactions,		global (versus local)	institutions, ideas,	human and natural	contemporary role and
flows of people and		institutions, ideas, or	and/or processes	systems, including their	differential effects of
materials, cultures,		processes relevant to	relevant to planning	historic and	human organizations
and differing		planning		contemporary	and actions on global
approaches to				interconnections and	systems to develop and
planning across world				the effects of human	advocate for informed,
regions)				organizations and	appropriate action to
				actions, to pose	plan for the solution of
				elementary solutions to	complex problems in
				complex planning	the human and natural
				problems	worlds

Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the skills required to be effective planners, including ability to:

	Relevant core	Does not meet the	Approaches the	Meets the standard (80	Exceeds the standard
	courses	standard (0 to 69%)	standard (70 to 79%)	to 89%)	(90 to 100%)
A Undertake research	URP 6101	May be able to	Communicates and	Communicates,	Communicates,
(application of tools	URP 6131	communicate	organizes information	organizes, and	organizes, and
for assembling and	URP 6200	information obtained	from appropriate	synthesizes information	synthesizes information
analyzing ideas and	URP 6211	from various sources,	sources, but fails to	from appropriate	from sources to fully
information from prior	URP 6406	but the information is	synthesize it properly,	sources so that the	achieve a specific
practice and	URP 6840	fragmented and/or	so that the intended	intended purpose is	purposes, with clarity
scholarship, and from	URP 6873	used inappropriately	purpose is not achieved	achieved	and depth
primary and	URP 6920	(misquoted, not cited			
secondary sources)	URP 6979	or cited improperly,			
		taken out of context,			
		incorrectly			
		paraphrased, etc.), so			
		the intended purpose			
		is not achieved			
B Communicate at a	URP 6101	Demonstrates minimal	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	Demonstrates detailed
professional level in	URP 6115	attention to the	awareness of the	consistent and effective	attention to and highly
written, oral, and	URP 6131	requirements of	requirements of	execution of written,	successful execution of
graphic forms (ability	URP 6200	assignments and to	assignments and	oral, and graphic	written, oral, and
to prepare clear,	URP 6211	professional planning	attempts to satisfy	communications in a	graphic
accurate and	URP 6270	expectations (e.g.,	those requirements in a	manner consistent with	communications in a
compelling text,	URP 6406	written, oral, and	professional manner,	expectations for	manner consistent with
graphics and maps for	URP 6840	graphic presentations	but is not fully	professional planners,	expectations for
use in documents and	URP 6873	fail to fully respond to	successful in	including but not	professional planners,
presentations)	URP 6920	assignments and do	communicating ideas	limited to organization,	including but not
	URP 6979	not communicate	effectively (e.g., written	content, presentation,	limited to organization,
		effectively)	and oral	formatting, and stylistic	content, presentation,
			communications are	choices	formatting, and stylistic
			effective, but graphic		choices
			communications are		
			not)		

					Revised: October 30, 2018
C Apply appropriate	URP 6200	Is unable to effectively	Uses quantitative and	Uses quantitative and	Uses quantitative and
qualitative and	URP 6211	apply quantitative and	qualitative analysis as	qualitative analysis as	qualitative techniques
quantitative methods	URP 6270	qualitative analysis	the basis for tentative,	the basis for	as the basis for deep
(application of data	URP 6406	methods: methods	basic judgments, but is	competent judgments,	and thoughtful
collection, analysis and	URP 6840	may be inappropriate	hesitant or uncertain	drawing reasonable	judgments, drawing
modeling tools for	URP 6873	to the problem, used	about drawing	and appropriately	insightful, carefully
forecasting, policy	URP 6920	incorrectly, or the	conclusions	qualified conclusions;	qualified conclusions;
analysis, and design of	URP 6979	results misinterpreted		this is demonstrated by	this is demonstrated by
projects and plans)				at least one item of	repeated effective use
				original analysis	of appropriate
				employing at least one	quantitative and/or
				qualitative or	qualitative techniques
				quantitative research	
				technique	
D Apply planning	URP 6115	Is unable to effectively	Understands the	Understands the	Has a deep
methods to create	URP 6131	describe a coherent	planning process, but	planning process and	understanding of
plans and implement	URP 6873	process for creating	is uncertain about how	how to apply it in a	ambiguities and
them (application of	URP 6920	and implementing	to apply that process	competent manner in	complexities of the
integrative skills for	URP 6979	plans	to actual planning	addressing actual	planning process, and
sound plan			problems	planning problems	how to apply it in
formulation, adoption,					complex situations
and implementation					
and enforcement)					
E Apply planning	URP 6101	Is unable to effectively	Understands planning	Understands planning	Has a deep
procedures for	URP 6115	describe coherent	processes for engaging	processes for engaging	understanding of
engaging communities	URP 6873	processes for engaging	diverse communities	diverse communities	community and
and stakeholders	URP 6920	diverse communities	and stakeholders, but	and stakeholders and	stakeholder
(apply tools for	URP 6979	and stakeholders	is uncertain about how	how to apply them in a	engagement processes,
stakeholder			to apply those	competent manner in	including their
involvement,			processes to actual	addressing actual	ambiguities and how to
community			planning problems	planning problems	apply them in difficult
engagement, and					circumstances
working with diverse					
communities)					

Florida Atlantic University Revised: October 30, 2018

F Exercise leadership	URP 6115	Explains why it is	Explains why it is	Explains in a	Explains in a convincing
skills (apply tools for	URP 6920	important for planners	important for planners	convincing manner	manner why it is
strategic decision-		to be effective leaders	to be effective leaders	why it is important for	important for planners
making, team building,		in a vague or abstract	and can apply this	planners to be	to be effective leaders
and organizational and		way, but cannot	knowledge to specific	effective leaders and	and demonstrates
community		provide specific	circumstances;	has contributed in a	substantial initiative in
motivation)		explanations or	demonstrates this by	sustained and	sustained, reflective,
		justifications applied to	contributions to the	effective manner to	and effective
		particular contexts	leadership of team	the leadership of team	leadership of team
			projects and/or other	projects and/or other	projects and other
			planning activities	planning activities	activities

Learning Outcome #3 – Values and ethics: students will demonstrate understanding of:

	Relevant core	Does not meet the standard (0 to 69%)	Approaches the standard (70 to 79%)	Meets the standard (80 to 89%)	Exceeds the standard (90 to 100%)
A Professional ethics	courses URP 6101	-	•	•	
	URP 6101 URP 6115	May recognize basic and obvious ethical	Can recognize basis and obvious ethical issues	Can recognize ethical issues when they are	Can recognize ethical
and responsibility (key				·	issues when they are
issues of planning	URP 6131	issues in planning, but	in planning and grasp	presented in a complex,	presented in a complex,
ethics and related	URP 6406	fails to grasp	(incompletely) the	multilayered (gray)	multilayered (gray)
questions of the ethics	URP 6920	complexity or	complexities of or	context or can grasp	context and can grasp
of public decision-		interrelationships; does	interrelationships	interrelationships	interrelationships
making, research, and		not demonstrate any	among the issues; is	among the issues;	among the issues;
client representation,		familiarity with the	aware of and can	knows the components	knows the components
including the		AICP and APA	discuss components of	of the AICP and APA	of the AICP and APA
provisions of the AICP		guidelines	the AICP and APA	guidelines and can	guidelines and can
Code of Ethics and			guidelines	apply them to an	apply them to a
Professional Conduct				ethical issue in planning	complex or ambiguous
and APA's Ethical					ethical issue in planning
Principles in Planning)					
B Equity, diversity,	URP 6406	Can explain why social	Can explain why social	Displays an	Displays a deep
and social justice (key	URP 6840	and economic equity	and economic equity	understanding of social	understanding of social
issues in equity,	URP 6920	and diversity are	and diversity are	and economic equity	justice issues in
diversity, and social	URP 6979	important in planning	important in planning,	and diversity issues in	planning and how to
justice that emphasize		in a vague or abstract	but cannot diagnose	planning and how to	address them
planners' role in		way, but cannot	why the problem	address them in	effectively in planning
expanding choice and		provide specific	persists or how to	planning practice	practice
opportunity for all		explanations or	address it in actual		
persons, plan for the		justifications applied to	planning contexts		
needs of the		specific circumstances			
disadvantaged, reduce					
inequities through					
critical examination of					
past and current					
systems and					
disparities, and					
promote racial and					
economic integration)					

C Governance and	URP 6115	Has minimal	Displays some (but	Displays an	Displays a deep
participation (the roles	URP 6131	understanding of the	incomplete)	understanding of the	understanding of the
of officials,	URP 6920	role of planning within	understanding of the	role of planning within	role of planning within
stakeholders, and		the structure of local,	role of planning within	the U.S. governmental	the U.S. governmental
community members		state, and federal	the U.S. governmental	structure and of the	structure and how to
in planned change)		government in the U.S.	structure and the role	role of authentic	effectively provide for
		or of importance of	of the planner in	(versus inauthentic)	authentic citizen
		involving citizens in the	fostering authentic	citizen participation in	participation in the
		planning process	citizen participation in	the planning process	planning process
			the planning process		
D Sustainability and	URP 6406	Does not display	Displays some	Understands the	Has a deep
environmental quality		knowledge of the	knowledge of the	complexity of	understanding of the
(environmental,		complexity of	complexity of	environmental	complexity of
economic, and		environmental	environmental	challenges and how	environmental
social/political factors		challenges or how	challenges but little	planners can play an	challenges and how
that contribute to		planners might	understanding of how	effective role in	planners can play an
sustainable		effectively respond to	planners can play an	fostering sustainable	effective role in
communities, and the		them	effect role in	and resilient	fostering sustainable
creation of sustainable			responding to them	communities	and resilient
futures)					communities
E Growth and	URP 6200	Cannot articulate an	Has some	Has a good	Understands the
development	URP 6406	understanding of the	understanding of the	understanding of the	complex
(economic,	URP 6840	roles of economic,	roles of economic,	roles of economic,	interrelationships of
infrastructure, social,	URP 6920	social, and cultural	social, and cultural	social, and cultural	economic, social, and
and cultural factors in	URP 6979	factors in urban	factors in urban	factors in urban	cultural factors in urban
urban and regional		growth and change	growth and change,	growth and change	growth and change and
growth and change)			but cannot apply this	and can apply this	can apply this
			knowledge in the	knowledge to actual	knowledge to difficult
			context of actual urban	planning problems	planning problems
			planning problems		

Florida Atlantic University Revised: October 30, 2018

F Health and the built	URP 6406	Cannot demonstrate	Has a general	Displays an	Displays a deep
environment		any understanding of	understanding of the	understanding of	understanding of the
(planning's		the relationship	relationship between	health and the built	relationship between
implications for		between health and	health and the built	environment and how	health and the built
individual and		the built environment	environment, but	to address that in	environment and how
community health in			cannot articulate any	planning practice	to address that
the places where			specific planning		effectively in planning
people live, work, play,			applications of this		practice
and learn)			knowledge		

Appendix III: Learning Outcomes Assessment Form

Student's name: Self or faculty a	assessment?
If faculty assessment, faculty member's name:	
Learning Outcome #1 – General planning knowledge: students will dependent of the planning concepts, including understanding of:	emonstrate knowledge of genera
	Assessment (%)
A The purpose and meaning of planning	
B Planning theory	
C Planning law	
D Human settlements and the history of planning	
E The future	
F The global dimensions of planning	
Average for Learning Outcome #1	
Comments:	
Comments:	
comments.	
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the	e skills required to be effective
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the	e skills required to be effective Assessment (%)
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the	
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the planners, including ability to:	Assessment (%)
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the planners, including ability to: A Undertake research	Assessment (%)
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the planners, including ability to: A Undertake research B Communicate at a professional level in written, oral, and graphic for	Assessment (%)
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the planners, including ability to: A Undertake research B Communicate at a professional level in written, oral, and graphic for C Apply appropriate qualitative and quantitative methods	Assessment (%)
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the planners, including ability to: A Undertake research B Communicate at a professional level in written, oral, and graphic for C Apply appropriate qualitative and quantitative methods D Apply planning methods to create plans and implement them	Assessment (%)
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the planners, including ability to: A Undertake research B Communicate at a professional level in written, oral, and graphic for C Apply appropriate qualitative and quantitative methods D Apply planning methods to create plans and implement them E Apply planning procedures for engaging communities and stakehole	Assessment (%)
Learning Outcome #2 – Planning skills: students will demonstrate the planners, including ability to: A Undertake research B Communicate at a professional level in written, oral, and graphic for C Apply appropriate qualitative and quantitative methods D Apply planning methods to create plans and implement them E Apply planning procedures for engaging communities and stakehold F Exercise leadership tools	Assessment (%)

Learning Outcome #3 – Values and ethics: students will demonstrate understanding of:

Assessment (%)

Michigan State University - MURP Learning Outcomes

The URP program faculty view education from a constructivist perspective (Erwin, 1991), which assists students in learning how to obtain knowledge, in building upon student's existing knowledge, and in advancing students systematically with each course towards becoming a well-rounded planning scholar and practitioner according to the PAB criteria. As a planning program, the faculty take responsibility to know if, what, and how well students have learned taught material in a value-added way. As a learningoriented faculty, we have implemented a student assessment rubric. Over the past three years (2014-2017), we have evaluated each student individually through formative assessment based on PAB required knowledge, skills and values annually (at the end of each spring semester). We also complete an entry (within the first three months of starting our program) and exit diagnostic (in our practicum) for a summative assessment. We initialized our student assessment during three planning sessions in spring 2014, during which faculty members worked together to create an assessment tool for the courses and the URP program. During fall 2017 and spring 2018, we modified our student assessment tool given the new PAB-criteria that now contain: KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS, ATTITUDES, COMPETENCIES, AND HABITS OF MIND. The new evaluation rubric came into effect in spring 2018. The program faculty defines assessment according to Dary Erwin (1991), "as the systematic basis for making inferences about the learning and development of students. More specifically, assessment is the process of defining (1), selecting (2), designing (3), collecting (4), analyzing (5), interpreting (6), and using (7) information to increase students' learning and development."

- 1) Defining We followed Bloom's taxonomy (Bloom et al., 1956) to update our learning goals (last revision to learning goals in 2014) for the undergraduate and graduate curriculum during our strategic planning meetings in 2017/2018. Our learning objectives now cover knowledge, skills, attitudes, and habits of mind, while we evaluate them through five competencies. We strive to educate our students graduating from our program as:
 - Policy-oriented learners
 - Knowledgeable planning practitioners
 - Skillful professionals
 - Innovative thinkers
 - Ethically-driven team leaders

Our competencies reflect our MSU motto "Spartans will" and CSS goals for engaged learners.

Definitions used since spring 2018

GENERAL PLANNING KNOWLEDGE						
Bloom's taKonomy	Undergraduate Lea1rning Goals	Graiduate Learning Goals				
Knowledge: Identify andl recall information.	!Knowledge: Identify general 1planning knowledge concepts and local planning context of llocal communities.	Knowledge: Describe general planning I <nowledge and="" communiues.<="" concepts="" context="" local="" of="" planning="" td=""></nowledge>				
Comprehension: Understand material organize and select facts and ideas.	Comprehension: Discuss the purpose and me.aning of planning based on history, law, theo,ry and the future of planning.	Comprehension: Explain and generalize the purpose and meaning of planning bas,ed on history, law, theory and the futuire of planning.				
Application: Use facts, rules and piriinciples and apply them to new situations.	Ap,plication: Apply general planning conceptsto real-life scenarii,osespecially local communities.	Applica1tion:!Investigate and interpret reall-life s,cen.arios in the profession applying the general !Planning knowledge acquired, especially local communities.				
Analysis: Separate wholle into component parts to understand.	Analysis: Compare and contrast different planning concepts acmss cultures, countries and communities.	Ana1lysls: Compare and contrast different approaches to planning solutions across cultures, countries and communities.				
Synthesis: Combine ideas to form a new whole, product of solution.	Synthesis: Combine theory, llaw and history in differ,ent communities into a consistent perspective on planning.	Synthesis: Create a coherent philos, ophy of planning using past experience applied to futuire needs for different communities.				
Evaluation: Make judgments, opinions or decision with reference to criteria.	Evaluation: Assess the strengths and weallmesses of different plllanning solutions and cr,eate viable planning options, especially tor projects assisting local communities.	Evaluaition: Evaluate the efficao, •o·f variious planning scenarios and make appropriate recommendations for action, and create viable planning options, especially for projects assisting loc-al communiities.				

	PLANNING SKILLS	
Bloom's taxonomy	Undergraduate Learning Goals	Graduate Learning Goals
Knowledge: Identify .:ind recall information.	Knowledge: Rec.:ill .:i v.:iriety of basic planning skills.	Knowledge: Identify and recognize planning techniques and methods of analysis.
Comprehension: Understand material organize and select facts and ideas.	Comprehension: Distinguish between various planning skills and summarize their differences and importance.	Comprehension: Estimate benefits and costs of solving problems using specific planning methods.
Application: Use facts, rules and principles and apply them to new situations.	Application: Apply planning skills learned through the curriculum to empirical situations where needed or appropriate, especially in different local communities.	Application: Employ appropriate methods to solve a variety of planning problems, especially in different local communities.
Analysis: Separate whole into component parts to understand.	Analysis: Inventory specific technical skills to understand the content and purpose for what they address.	Analysis: Appraise the assumptions and differences between learned planning methods and skills.
Synthesis: Combine ideas to form a new whole, product of solution.	Synthesis: Formulate effective planning outcomes suitable for local communities based on analysis & accepted techniques.	Synthesis: Prepare unique ways of combining existing techniques to solve complex problems of local communities.
Evaluation: Make judgments, opinions or decision with reference to criteria.	Evaluation: Assess the utility of solutions based on planning objectives supported by empirical data.	Evaluation: Discriminate between the effectiveness of common techniques used and predicted results of tool implementation.

ATTITUDES						
Bloom's taxonomy	Undergraduate Learning Goals	Graduate Learning Goals				
Knowledge: Identify and recall information.	Knowledge: Recognize ethical practices and planners' responsibilities in the context of diverse communities.	Knowledge : Relate ethical practices and responsibilities to diverse communities.				
Comprehension: understand material organize and select facts and ideas.	Comprehension: Give examples of fair and just solutions to planning dilemmas and discuss impacts on diverse communities.	Comprehension: Interpret ethical dilemmas and defend possible solutions based on impacts on diverse stakeholders and their work/personal lives.				
Application: Use facts, rules and principles and apply them to new situations.	Application: Illustrate and interpret an ethical planning scenario in a diverse community using the latest knowledge, skills, and information available	Application: Construct and solve an ethical planning scenario in a diverse community using the latest knowledge, skills, and information available				
Analysis: Separate whole into component parts to understand.	Analysis: Compare and differentiate how planning processes and solutions affect diverse communities.	Analysis: Anticipate how planning processes and solutions affect diverse communities and critique each.				
Synthesis: Combine ideas to form a new whole product of solution.	Synthesis: Creatively choose planning methods and cooperatively design planning processes to solve planning dilemmas ethically	Synthesis: Creatively design and cooperatively formulate and prepare planning methods to solve planning dilemmas ethically				
Evaluation: Make judgments, opinions or decision with reference to criteria.	Evaluation: Persistently judge scenarios on best-practice and latest information	Evaluation: Persistently balance different stakeholder interests using latest information and best-practice knowledge and skills				

	HABITS OF MIND			
Bloom's taxonomy	Undergraduate Learning Goals	Graduate Learning Goals		
Knowledge: Identify and recall information.	Knowledge: Describe key planning values and ethical guidelines based on the PAB code of ethics	Knowledge: Know the PAB code of ethics and recognize key planning values		
Comprehension: understand material organize and select facts and ideas.	Comprehension: Give examples of ethical dilemmas and discuss possible solutions. Explain key planning values and distinguish when they would come into play.	Comprehension: Interpret ethical dilemmas and defend possible solutions. Suggest applicable planning values.		
Application : Use facts, rules and principles and apply them to new situations.	Application: Interpret an ethical planning scenario incorporating perspectives of multiple stakeholders.	Application: Consider the input of multiple stakeholders when solving are ethical planning scenario.		
Analysis: Separate whole into component parts to understand.	Analysis: Willing to consider the impact of choices on myself and others and able to compare and differentiate how habits of mind affect the planning process and outcomes.	Analysis: Analyze and weigh different options and able to predict how different values affect the planning process and outcomes.		
Synthesis : Combine ideas to form a new whole product of solution.	Synthesis: Compile and compose various and different viewpoints to construct viable scenarios.	Synthesis: Recognize and accept own biases and perceptions and able to generate alternative scenario to own/initial solution		
Evaluation : Make judgments, opinions or decision with reference to criteria.	Evaluation: Summarize ethically-grounded concepts including social equity, environmental values and growth and development even if it differs from own perspective	Evaluation: Able to change one's own perspective given evidence presented by others, but firmly grounded in PAB ethical code.		

2) Selecting

Based on the learning goals, each URP instructor has designed learning objectives for their course, which ensures that the assessment of a course reflects the range, scope and emphasis on the instructor's goals for students learning and the material that was taught. Each course is integrated into the PAB criteria through our curriculum matrix.

3) Designing

The URP designed our assessment tool around authentic assessment (Wiggins and McTighe, 1998) given our planning program is practitioner-oriented and program goals focus on graduating students that are ready to take an advanced or senior position in the planning profession. The URP also paid particular emphasis on validity (assessment accurately measures what it is designed to measure) and reliability (assessment will produce the same result consistently when repeated, or when assessed by multiple evaluators) of our assessment tools. With the centrality of the learning paradigm of Barr and Tagg (1995), we designed the URP curriculum around cooperative and collaborative learning.

4) Collecting

We hold the belief that the best evidence of students learning is based on their work, in addition to SIRS forms, self-reporting, grade distributions etc. Consequently, the URP strives to implement course-embedded assessments that provide immediate feedback to the instructor on how well students learn the material for each one of our courses.

5) Analyzing

As a program, the URP moved from a norm-referenced assessment (a test in which a student's performance is compared to that of a norm to assign a grade) to a criterion-referenced assessment (an assessment in which the results determine a student's progress toward mastery of the competency. We expect mastery from our students upon graduation). This gave us the advantage of measuring student achievement relative to the learning goals we had set.

6) Interpreting

We place a strong emphasis on knowing our students. The URP use rubrics as our tool that lay out the expectations for the program and each competency based on the PAB criteria. With the rubrics, we trace each student's progress towards mastering the competencies, whereby the competencies represent an accumulate of knowledge, skills, attitudes and habits of mind. To note here is that rubrics encourage student to think critically; rubrics also level the playing field, facilitate communication, provide timely feedback and help refine our teaching method immediately and permanently (Ambrose, 2010).

Assessment matrix used since spring 2018

- Policy-oriented learners
- Knowledgeable planning practitioners
- Skillful professionals
- Innovative thinker
- Ethically-driven team leader

Stud	lent:	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Good	Exemplary
	Policy-oriented learner	unwilling to learn planning knowledge, skills, values, habits of mind, and attitudes.	Learns willingly about the planning profession and its applications to policy when instructed.	Has self-motivated drive to learn to benefit the planning profession and advise policy-makers.	Eager to learn beyond lessons taught in the classroom to apply the most recent planning knowledge, skills, habits of mind, and attitudes as a professional planner and policy- maker
.,	Knowledgeable planning practitioner	unfamiliar with the basic purpose and meaning of planning, planning skills and planning values.	Shows an awareness of the fundamental planning knowledge required for the planning profession.	Demonstrates broad planning knowledge and is able to use it in different hypothetical scenarios.	Efficiently and confidently applies appropriate planning knowledge to solve complex planning problems.
 ⊕. ⊌_o_o= = ::	Skillful professional	Can not identify the range of skills planners use in their profession.	Shows familiarity with the wide range of planning skills planners use in professional settings.	Effectively applies planning skills in professional practice.	Critically examines various planning skills before applying them to contemporary planning issues.
	Innovative thinker	Unable to link planning knowledge, skills, and values to planning practice.	Can combine knowledge skills and values to recognize planning trends.	Able to analyze and describe trends affecting the planning process using a combination of knowledge skills, values, and habits of mind.	Eager to predict trends and propose innovative planning solutions given the knowledge, skills, and values taught in our program.
	Ethically-driven team leader	No recognition of ethical conflicts and values and unable to lead a team.	Able to identify and define professional values and ethics while recognizing the skills a team leader must possess.	Able to honor and apply professional ethics in most instances while leading teams.	Able to efficiently apply professional ethics in various ethical dilemmas every time while leading teams efficiently.

7) Using

The URP program uses the student assessment outcomes continuously to improve the program. For example, we update our courses and our alumni hold workshops on topics in which students lack behind in making sufficient progress in mastering their competencies. For example, in spring 2018 our alumni held a workshop on public engagement and governance; in our 2017 student assessment review, we had identified "governance and participation" as the topic in which many students had not achieved mastery. We also review our assessment tools at our annual strategic meetings and align our curriculum to any learning objectives or goal changes based on updates to our strategic plan. As a program, we will continue to conduct exit surveys, measure student outcomes on an annual basis and update our assessment tools to reflect the latest scholarship on teaching and learning.

Citations used in this Section:

- Ambrose, S.A., Bridges, M.W., DiPietro, M., Lovett, M.C., and Norman., M.K. (2010). "How Learning Works." San Francisco, CA: Wiley.
- Barr, R., and Tagg, J. (1995). "From Teaching to Learning: A New Paradigm for Undergraduate Education." *Change* (November/December 1995):12–25.
- Bloom, B.S., Engelhart, M.D., Furst, E.J., Hill, W.H., and Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals*. Handbook I: Cognitive domain. New York, NY: David McKay Company.
- Costa L.A., and B. Kallick (2000), *Habits of Mind: A Developmental Series*, Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development in Alexandria, VA.
- Erwin, T.D. (1991) Assessing student learning and development: a guide to the principles, goals and methods of determining college. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass Publishing
- Wiggins, G., and McTighe, J. (1998). "Understanding by Design." Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

URBP 298B Planning Report Rubric Used to Assess PLOs 1, 2, and 4 (Threshold to meet SLO is "good")

CRITERIA	Excel- lent	Good	Mar- ginal	Unacept- able	NA	Rat- ing	Weight	
Outcome 1. Demonstrates ability to conceptualize problems from complex, real world situation research worthy (maximum score: 12)	ons so the	problen	ns are m	eaningful to	clients	s, and a	re	0
1. Are the research uestions and/or goals well defined and clearly stated?	4	3	2	1			0.5	0
2. Does the author demonstrate in-depth familiarity with relevant literature on the subject?	4	3	2	1			1.5	0
3. Is the methodolog% appropriate to answer the research question(s)?	4	3	2	1			1	0
Outcome 2. Demonstrates ability to collect, analyze, and synthesize information from multiple	sources	(maximu	ım score	e: 16)	<u> </u>			0
4. Is the data collected sufficient in quality and depth to answer the research question?	4	3	2	1			1.5	0
Is the anal%sis direct, competent, and appropriate?	4	3	2	1			1.5	0
6. Are the conclusions sophisticated and based on the results of the analysis, as a logical extension of the findings?	4	3	2	1			0.5	0
7. Does the author show how his/her analysis and findings fit into the larger context of the literature and current professional practice?	4	3	2	1			0.5	0
Outcome 3. Demonstrates ability to communicate effectively in writing and by expressing com-	cepts in v	isual ter	ms (m	aximum scor	e: 12)			0
8. Is the material logically organized , so that a reader can easily follow the writer's train of thought?	4	3	2	1			1	0
2. Is the writing grammatically correct and free of typos?	4	3	2	1			0.5	0
10. Do tables and igures add useful/important information for the reader?	4	3	2	1			0.5	0
11. Is the report attractive and pro essional in appearance?	4	3	2	1			0.5	0
12. Are citations included where appropriate, and are footnotes and bibliography properly formatted?	4	3	2	1			0.5	0
				Overall Sc	ore			0

Excellent: As a supervisor, you would consider this work ready for public distribution without any substantial modification.

Good: As a supervisor, you would consider this work essentially sound, but in need of some refinement before public distribution.

Marginal: As a supervisor, you would conclude that the work contained some worthwhile elements, but required major revisions before public distribution.

Unacceptable: The work needs to be entirely redone.

URBP 201 Collaborative Teamwork Evaluation Rubric (PLO 3)

	T	Т	Ι	Τ	Γ
Criteria	Excellent: Consistently	Good:	Satisfactory:	Marginal:	Unsatisfactory:
	went above and	Consistently did what	Usually did what was	Sometimes failed to	Consistently failed to
	beyond what was	was necessary. Well	necessary. Minimally	show up or complete	show up or complete
	necessary.	prepared and	well prepared and	agreed upon	agreed upon
	Exceptionally well	cooperative. Almost	cooperative.	assignments. Rarely	assignments.
	prepared and	always completed	Occasionally did not	well prepared. A	Unprepared and had
	cooperative. Always	agreed-upon tasks by	complete agreed upon	marginal team	virtually no
	completed agreed-	agreed-upon	assignments by agreed	member.	participation in the
	upon assignments by	deadlines. A good	upon deadlines. A		team's
	agreed-upon	team member in all	satisfactory team		accomplishments. An
	deadlines. An	aspects.	member in almost all		unsatisfactory team
	exceptional team		aspects.		member.
	member in all aspects.				
Participated in group					
discussion or meetings					
Helped keep group					
focused on the task					
Contributed useful					
ideas					
Gathered useful data					
Quantity of work done					
Quality of work done					
Completed assigned					
tasks/deliverables on					
time					
Effective team					
leader/facilitator					
Effective team					
transcriber/note-taker					

Scores are assigned to each student, for each criteria, on a scale of 1 to 5. To meet the department's threshold, students must earn an average score of 4.3 or higher.

URBP 201 Rubric Evaluating Collaborative Engagement with Stakeholders that Incorporates Multicultural and Historical Perspectives (PLO 5)

CRITERIA	EXCEPTIONAL (EXCEEDS THRESHOLD)	GOOD (DEPARTMENT THRESHOLD)	BELOW AVERAGE (BELOW THRESHOLD)
List at least two ways that our work in URBP-201 this semester has advanced community priorities through collaborative engagement with stakeholders. Also, describe at least one way that we have deliberately incorporated multicultural and historical perspectives of the study neighborhood into the advancement of community priorities.	Student exceeded the minimum assignment requirements by, for example: Describing more than two methods that we used in the course to advance community priorities through collaborative engagement with stakeholders, and more than one way in which we have incorporated multicultural and historical perspectives in this regard. Exceptional level of detail in articulating and describing these methods, especially when considering the short timeframe given to complete the assignment	Student met the minimum assignment requirements by: Describing two methods that we used in the course to advance community priorities through collaborative engagement with stakeholders, and one way in which we have incorporated multicultural and historical perspectives in this regard. Adequate level of detail in articulating and describing these methods	The student presented material that was <u>substandard</u> in any or all of the aspects of this assignment; for example: Not listing at least two methods of collaborative engagement Not listing one method of incorporating multicultural and historical perspectives Missing, unclear and vague attempts to address the assignment tasks Clear evidence that minimal effort was devoted to completing this assignment

Internship Evaluation Rubric (PLO 2a, 2c, and 4)

Rating of Intern/Employee Performance:

Please rate the student's performance on the following criteria on a scale from 1 (very low performance) to 5 (very high performance).

Ability	to work	k effecti	vely on	a team	
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed
Ability	to com	pose wr	itten re	ports a	nd memos
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed
Ability	to mak	e an ora	ıl presei	ntation	
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed
Ability	to inter	face wi	th the p	ublic o	r clients
_	D 2		-		
Ability	to solve	e proble	ms and	think c	reatively
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed
Initiati	ve and	ability to	o work i	indepen	ndently
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed
Knowl	_	•	of an er	ntry-leve	el employee
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed
Under	standin	g of pro	fessiona	al plann	ing issues
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed
Ability	to synt	hesize p	lanning	g knowle	edge and apply it to actual planning problems
D 1	D 2	D 3	D 4	D 5	D Not assessed



Graduate Planning Studio Jury Evaluation

The Graduate Planning Studio, as the capstone experience in the MS program in City and Regional Planning, provides an opportunity for students to synthesize the skills they have acquired throughout the program, in addition to acquiring experience working for a real-world client. The Program's faculty, acting as a jury for the Studio, are asked to determine how well each of the following six (6) overall Program Competencies have been shown by this year's Studio class. This will enable the Program to evaluate whether these student learning outcomes are being met:

Temple City and Regional Planning Program Competencies:

- Be prepared for professional planning practice and career advancement in the public, private, or non-profit sectors
- Have strong analytical skills and the capacity to apply them in understanding American communities with respect to their social, economic, and physical conditions, the problems they face, and the opportunities they can build upon
- Have strong interpersonal and communication skills and know how to apply them to define, develop, and implement planning goals
- Understand the political, social, environmental and economic dynamics of American communities
- Understand the unique values, roles and responsibilities of the planning profession with particular emphasis on considerations of the environment, equity and economy as framed by the concept of sustainability.
- Have a skill set and knowledge appropriate to specialization within the field of planning.

For each Program Competency, please indicate if, in your opinion, the Studio shows evidence that our student meet, fails to meet, or exceeds each objective. Please provide specific examples in the space provided. If you are unable to make a determination from these presentations, please indicate and explain why not.



Evaluator:

RUBRIC / RATING SKILL Work fails to meet Work meets minimum Work is typical of that produced by Work exceeds standard PREPARED FOR planners in the public, private, or **PRACTICE** minimum expected for standards, but well below professional level. Award planning practice non-profit sectors worthy average **COMMENTS:** 2 4 Solid use of appropriate analytical Failure to demonstrate Basic analytical concepts **Exceeding expectations ANALYTICAL** and/or apply basic demonstrated, but poorly tools, effective communicated through innovative or **SKILLS** analytical concepts applied creative analysis **COMMENTS:**



Evaluator:_____

SKILL	RUBRIC / RATING						
COMMUNICATION SKILLS	1 Poor communication skills; failure to define or articulate planning goals	2 Communication uneven and/or inconsistent; problems and planning goals present but poorly articulated	3 Problems well defined and articulated. Demonstrates required communication and interpersonal skills for goal implementation	4 Superior communication skills that adds to planning outcomes. Engaging and effective presentation;			
COMMENTS:							
				······································			
COMMUNITY DYNAMICS	1 Fails to demonstrate basic understanding of any community dynamics	2 Basic understanding of issues, but perhaps uneven treatment. Demonstrates minimum competency	3 Complete, but perhaps basic understanding of all issues of dynamics in/of communities	4 In addition to basic understanding, speaks to interrelationships between economy, environment social and political dynamics			
COMMENTS:							
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			



Tyler School of Art and Architecture

**Planning and Community Development RUBRIC / RATING SKILL** Shows basic understanding of Demonstrates complete Presents unique or innovative Fails to demonstrate **DEMONSTRATE** planner's role in Equity, Environment, and grasp of sustainability as a ideas for how planners can creating sustainable Economy; planner's role may planning goal. Sees **SUSTAINABILITY** transform communities. Places not be clear in promoting interaction between the "3 the profession at the forefront communities F's" sustainability concerns sustainability **COMMENTS:** Fails to demonstrate Shows some skills as stronger Demonstrates all Expertise for specialization within than others, but uncertain as to the field is obvious. New and **SPECIALIZATION** any specialization appropriate skills needed to **SKILL SET** within the field whether sufficient for specialize within the field of creative ideas presented that may specialization in the field planning transform specializations within the field **COMMENTS:** 

Evaluator:



Evaluator:
------------

Any additional comments about MS Program Competencies	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	mone
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	en on o
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	mono
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	mome
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	



Evaluator:

Specific comments / notes for the studio project team

Tufts University's Urban & Environmental Policy & Planning Student Learning Outcomes

This document compiles the templates we used to aggregate the results of our assessment using our learning outcomes assessment instruments.

1. Assessment of Learning Outcomes Using Rubrics for Specific Class Assignments

The first set of tables include the templates we used to compile the results from our first year of assessing students' level of proficiency in policy and planning core competencies in four required core courses and the required thesis or capstone exam.

The templates were used to aggregate data derived from rubrics we devised for specific class assignments for each of these courses. Each rubric assessed the level of mastery exhibited in individual students' assignments with reference to the PAB (and additional) competencies. We adapted the "VALUE Rubric Development Project" of the Association of American Colleges and Universities, https://www.aacu.org/value/rubrics for this purpose. [The rubrics used to assess each assignment are available on request from Laurie Goldman (laurie.goldman@tufts.edu).]

In several courses, we use also the rubrics to communicate with students about expectations and their progress in developing the competencies. The rubric forms distributed to the students include detailed explanations of each ranking for every dimension of the competencies. In addition to the rating, students receive comments that explain their weaknesses and offer suggestions for improvement.

These assessment instruments will allow us to trace individual students' progress in developing competencies over their time at UEP, especially with respect to critical analysis, research, and written communication.

2. Surveys of Internship Supervisors and Recent Alumni

Following these tables are the templates we used to aggregate internship supervisors' responses to our survey about UEP students' preparedness for professional practice and reported learning outcomes from our survey of alumni two to five years post-graduation.

Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes: (UEP 250) Foundations of Public Policy and Planning, Fall 2016

This assessment draws on four assignments: a literature review about a specific urban, social, or environmental problem and policy/planning solutions to address it; a policy/planning brief that crafts a recommendation for action on the issue for a targeted audience and annotation that explains how they have applied the conceptual frameworks; and an observation and critique of a public meeting. We develop students' understanding of the purpose and meaning of policy and planning and planning theory by encouraging them to examine the relations between applied social science rationality and core values of equity, justice, sustainability, and aesthetics in addition to efficiency, effectiveness, liberty, and security. This inquiry is integrated into several of the rubric components.

PAB Criteria	Explanation of learning assessed	Average Score	Mastery Achieved	Proficiency Achieved	Proficiency Partially	Not Proficient	
		(Scale 1-4			Achieved		
		with 4 as					
		highest)					
			% of :	% of students in each level of proficiency			
4A1a) Purpose and Meaning	Literature Review: Explains the significance of the						
of Planning	problem and the rationale for intervention.						
	Policy/Planning Brief: Explains why the proposed						
	intervention is likely to achieve intended outcomes,						
	how it is preferable to the status quo and						
	alternatives, and why it is significant to the						
	stakeholders.						
4A1b) Planning Theory	Literature Review: Identifies, analyzes, and						
	assesses claims about the problem and						
	policy/planning solutions in terms of soundness of						
	assumptions, empirical evidence, and attention to						
	values and values trade-offs.						
	Policy/Planning Brief: Draws on empirical evidence						
	and metaphors and symbols to persuasively justify						
	the recommendations, with attention to values that						
	resonate for audience and constituents. Explain						
	how have integrated rational, communicative,						
	collaborative, and advocacy policy/planning						
	theories in shaping the brief.						

4A1c) Planning Law: Legal	Policy/Planning Brief: Analyzes the institutional			
and Institutional Contexts	context of the proposal (e.g., laws, regulations,			
	organizations) and the authority and influence of			
	key actors within and across levels of government			
	and public, private, and nonprofit/civic sectors.			
4A1e) The Future	Literature Review: Analysis of prior scholarship			
	regarding past and projected trends (Also relates to			
	1a).			
	Policy/Planning Brief: Analyzes the potential for			
	incremental vs. radical change in relation to			
	perceptions of the problem, solutions, and politics.			
	(Also relates to 1b and 1c.)			
4A2a) Research	Literature Review: Effective literature search;			
	identification of gaps in the literature; appropriate			
	referencing.			
4A2b) Written	Literature Review: Organization and flow of the			
Communication	narrative is compelling, clear, and connected;			
	Writing is clear and professional.			
	Policy/Planning Brief: Organization and flow of			
	the narrative is compelling, clear, and connected;			
	Writing is clear and professional and appropriate			
	for the intended audience.			
42d) Plan Creation and	Policy/Planning Brief: Identifies and explains how			
Implementation	the proposal will be implemented and evaluated.			
	(This was an optional element of the assignment.)	 		
43a) Professional Ethics and	Public Meeting Observation and Critique:			
Responsibility and	Description and analysis of public officials'			
3c) Governance and	communication with and inclusion of stakeholders			
Participation	with emphasis on integration of expert and local			
	knowledge, and respect for diverse views, cultural			
	competency. (Also assessed in two of the measures			
	explanation of the choice of audience for the			
	Policy/Planning Brief and why it is significant to the			
	constituents; cf. 1a, 1c)			

Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes: (UEP 251) Economics for Policy and Planning Spring 2017 (M.A. only) This assessment is based on composites of multiple choice, short answer, and essay exam questions on mid-term and final exams.

PAB Criteria &		M 11	Mastery Achieved:	Proficiency Achieved:	Proficiency Partially	Not Proficient:
Specific competency	Topics covered within this competency:	Median correct	90-100%	80-89%	Achieved: 70-79%	<70%
		0011001		% of students		
4A3e: Growth and	Development (Economic influences on policy and planning)	•				
Role of markets and market power	Introduction to microeconomic analysis and the neoclassical model, comparisons to alternative concepts of value pluralism; market supply and demand, price elasticity, market equilibrium (short and long run), market	Exam 1	Exam 1	Exam 1	Exam 1	Exam 1
	shortage and surplus; efficiency vs equity; market concentration, market failure, and market types, including perfect competition, monopolies, and natural monopolies.	Exam 2	Exam 2	Exam 2	Exam 2	Exam 2
Consumer and	Principles of neoclassical utility theory, indifference curves, budget constraints,					
producer economic	and consumer equilibrium; price discrimination; economic measures of social					
theory	welfare, including consumer and producer surplus and deadweight losses;					
	producer cost, revenue, and profits; producer output and pricing decisions; economies of scale and optimal firm size.					
4A3d: Sustainabilit	y and Environmental Quality (Environmental, social, and cultural influences o	n policy and	d planning)	1		
Environmental	Externalities, environmental damage, and related market failures; public goods	<u> </u>				
economics	and common property resources; role of government in market failures					
	(provision of public goods, taxation, regulation, property rights enforcement,					
	etc.); non-market valuation strategies; cost-benefit and life cycle analysis,					
	discounting and the discount rate.					
Urban economics	Economic rationale for the existence of cities; scale and agglomeration					
	economies; monocentric and polycentric city models; transportation costs and					
443c: Governance	public transportation. and Participation (Different roles of government, governance, and citizenship is	 n nolicy and	 nlanning)			
Government	Effect of taxes on market outcomes (price/quantity) and welfare		paming)			
taxation and	(consumer/producer surplus); distributional consequences of taxation; price					
regulation	elasticity, tax revenue and tax burden.					
	rsity, Social Justice (UEP competency: Political and economic power mapping)	1			ı	
Inequality and	Trends in income inequality and income redistribution efforts; Lorenz curves					
Gini coefficients	and Gini coefficients; normative standards of equity; spatial concentrations of poverty and inequality.					

Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes: (UEP 252) Cities in Space, Place and Time, Fall 2016

This assessment draws on students' analysis of two city plans, one dated prior to 1950 and another dated after 1950 in the same city. The rubrics used for assessing provide feedback on multiple components of each assignment that together convey the students' level of mastery of the competencies in the following table. Scores for some of these elements were assessed with respect to more than one competency.

PAB Criteria	Explanation of learning assessed	Average Score (Scale 1-4	Mastery Achieved	Proficiency Achieved	Proficiency Partially Achieved	Not Proficient
		with 4 as highest)	% of	students in eac	h level of profic	iency
4A1a) Purpose and Meaning of Planning	Explains how the growth of cities and metropolitan areas created new challenges and	Pre-1950	Pre-1950	Pre-1950	Pre-1950	Pre-1950
	opportunities in the context of longer-term changes and trends and the roles planners and policymakers assumed to respond to them.	Post-1950	Post-1950	Post-1950	Post-1950	Post-1950
4A1b) Planning Theory	Explains framings (theories or models) underlying proposed solutions, alternatives, and favored approaches and how this framing changed or did not change over time.					
4A1c) Planning Law: Legal and Institutional Contexts	Explores how city, state, and national government roles evolved in response to advocacy and challenges, including evolving roles of organized citizens and interest groups and, and in relation to major legal/statutory landmarks.					
4A1d) Human Settlements and History of Planning and 4A3e) Growth and Development 4A1f) Global Dimensions of Planning	Examines reasons for the patterns and challenges of urbanization, growth, and decline and policy/planning attempts to foster and manage growth and decline. Considers global economic trends and industrialization and urbanization; migration; global financial flows of investment and finance; influences of war, climate, and recessions.					
4A1e) The Future	Analyzes the potential influences of the planning document on issues and conditions over time					

	leading to today, including influences on planning/policy practice.										
4A2b) Written	Uses clear and concise language and a compelling										
Communication	narrative structure to persuasively communicate										
	meaning to the intended audience, using										
	appropriate terminology and citations.										
43a) Professional Ethics	Reflects thoughtfully on dimensions of the AICP	Pre-	Post-								
and Responsibility and	and ASPA professional codes of ethics regarding:	1950	1950	1950	1950	1950	1950	1950	1950	1950	1950
43b) Social Justice											
43c) Governance and	 the long-term consequences of actions, 										
Participation	the inter-relatedness of decisions										
43d) Sustainability and	meaningful community communication and										
Environmental Quality	participation in decision making										
	social and racial justice with special concern										
	for marginalized communities										
	 impacts on and transformations of the 										
	physical environment, locally and regionally										
	with respect to energy, food, water issues, or										
	other environmental trends										

Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes: (UEP 255) Field Projects: Planning and Practice, Spring 2017

This assessment draws on the written work, oral presentations, self- and team- assessment reports, and faculty observations of individual students and teams. The learning outcomes highlighted are those our alumni have told us are among the most critical to successful practice in their policy and planning careers. The table reports on ratings at the team level for each of the eight teams.

PAB Criterion	Explanation of learning assessed	Mastery Achieved	Proficiency Achieved	Proficiency Partially Achieved	Not Proficient
			# teams	/8 teams	
-	lementation and 4a2e Planning Process Methods	T			
Collaborative Skills:	Plan is developed with realistic scope of work, detailed tasks, timeline, and				
project management	person responsible.				
	Division of labor among team is equitable and makes best use of member				
	strengths and interests.				
	Team monitors progress regularly and makes timely adjustments.				
	Team completes all deliverables and meets deadlines.				
Collaborative Skills:	Communication within team is timely, succinct, complete, and clear.				
communication and	Communication between team and partner is timely, succinct, and clear.				
relationship building	Team takes time to check in as people with each other and with partner.				
	Team provides appropriate, direct, and honest feedback (both positive and negative) to each other and to partner.				
	Trust and working relationships between team members.				
	Trust and working relationships between team and partner.				
4A2f) Leadership and Mana	gement:	1			
Team problem solving: adapting to the unexpected and obstacles	Team members have deep understanding of each other's strengths and challenges, including each other's preferred style in managing unanticipated problems.				
	Team always discusses, identifies, records, and plans for time availability and constraints of individual members.				
	Team members are as flexible with their schedules as possible and assume additional duties as necessary when unanticipated demands arise.				
	All potential solutions for unanticipated problems generated within the group are considered.				

4A3b) Equity, Diversity, and	Social Justice:		
Ability to work across difference: understanding	Team has deep understanding and respect for client/partner mission, interests, culture, and sensitivities.		
and respect for client/partner mission,	Team reflects on and develops deep self-awareness of their own culture and positionality.		
interests, culture, and	Team asks questions and initiates dialogue to develop deeper understanding.		
sensitivities	Team acts in ways that are sensitive to the differences in culture and interests among their partner and stakeholders.		
4A2b) Visual Communications – written report	The design and layout (style, colors, font type, illustrative graphics) is highly compelling and appropriate, and greatly enhances readability and comprehension.		
	Structure and flow is very logical and of appropriate length.		
	Visuals (maps, images, charts, etc.) are highly legible, of high quality, and greatly enhance understanding.		
4A2b) Visual Communications – oral presentation	The design and layout (style, colors, font type, illustrative graphics) is highly compelling and appropriate, and greatly enhances readability and comprehension.		
	Structure and flow is very logical and of appropriate length.		
	Visuals (maps, images, charts, etc.) are highly legible, of high quality, and greatly enhance understanding.		
4A2b) Oral Communication –	The project was presented at a good pace (not rushed), had smooth transitions, and ended on time.		
presentation	Each presenter spoke clearly and loudly enough to be heard by all.		
	The language was accessible and illuminating to the lay public (acronyms were spelled out and jargon avoided).		
	Presenters were well-prepared, did not read from notes, or look at the slides while talking.		
	Presenters maintained strong eye contact with the audience and were engaging (voice, tone, mood, humor, and level of energy).		
	There was significant cohesion between the verbal and visual messages.		

Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes: (UEP 255) Thesis and Capstone Exams

This table reports the assessment of students' learning proficiency as demonstrated in their thesis projects or capstone exams. The students' advisors submit this assessment following the students' integration of feedback from both the advisor and reader/s provided in the thesis or exam defense. The assessment emphasizes students' research and writing skills, especially their ability to synthesize a variety of sources of knowledge, their critical analysis skills, and their use of quantitative and/or qualitative analysis techniques. They use these skills in developing their substantive policy and planning knowledge (Competencies in the 41A category). Virtually all projects devote extensive attention to concerns of equity, diversity, justice, and sustainability. The 40 thesis projects and exams included in the table were submitted in the 2016/2017 academic year.

PAB Criterion	Explanation of learning assessed	Mastery Achieved	Proficiency Achieved	Proficiency Partially Achieved	Not Proficient
4A1 General Planning	Synthesizes in-depth information from relevant sources that				
Knowledge; 4A2a Research	represent and explain various points of view/approaches.				
4A1 General Planning	Thoroughly and systematically analyzes own and others'				
Knowledge	assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance and				
4A3b Equity, Diversity, Justice	effects of relevant social/cultural, political, economic,				
4A3 Growth and	institutional, historical, and physical contextual factors.				
Development	Nuanced discussion of the implications of omissions and				
	biases.				
4A1 Existing Knowledge	Conclusions are logically tied to the evidence and are				
4A2A Research	discussed insightfully in relation to prior research or discourse				
Conclusions, Limitations, and	in the field. Limitations are identified as the basis for future				
Implications for Policy,	investigation. Raises relevant and useful implications for the				
Planning, and Practice	policy/planning field that are clearly derived from findings.				
4A2A Research *	All elements of the theoretical framework and the methodology are skillfully developed and explained, taking into account concerns of reliability, validity, cultural sensitivity, and other biases.				
	Appropriately detailed information is taken/collected from multiple and varied sources. Viewpoints and assumptions of experts/research subjects are questioned thoroughly.				

4A2c Data Analysis Skills*	Proficiently employs <i>advanced</i> quantitative and/or qualitative techniques to effectively describe, test, and or elaborate findings, while considering challenges of reliability, validity, and cultural and other biases.		
	Organizes and synthesizes evidence to reveal important patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.		
43A2b) Written Communication	Creates a compelling, clear, and connected narrative structure, using headings as appropriate, including an introduction and conclusion. The reader finds it easy to follow.		
	Uses clear and concise language to persuasively communicate meaning to the intended audience with appropriate terminology. There are few spelling or typographical errors. Citations are complete and formatted in a consistent standard style.		

^{*} Projects or exams that do not have an empirical component have been excluded from these numbers.

Internship Supervisors' Assessment of Professional Preparedness: Our survey of Internship Supervisors provides prospective employers' perspectives on UEP student's preparedness for professional positions in the field. The following table includes the competencies and ranking scheme that comprise the assessment.

Internship Supervisors' Assessment of UEP Students' Preparedness for Professional Practice

Internsing Supervisors Assessment of	Clearly ready for professional practice	Ready but could use more practice	Not ready yet, but improving	Not ready for professional practice	Total
Manage project tasks					
Work independently and meet deadlines					
Collaborate with peers					
Work effectively with supervisor(s)					
Work effectively in public processes					
Work with people from diverse cultural backgrounds					
Collect and organize data and/or information					
Perform quantitative analysis					
Perform qualitative analysis					
Create high quality maps					
Perform spatial analysis					
Evaluate plans, policies or programs					
Make effective oral presentations					
Make effective visual presentations					
Communicate effectively in writing					

Alumni's Satisfaction with How UEP Prepared Them for Careers in Policy and Planning

Our survey of alumni 2-5 years post-graduation, conducted in May of 2016 (with a response rate of 67%), asked for self-assessments of how UEP prepared them for careers in policy and planning. The table below indicates the competencies included in the survey.

	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied
Understanding the kinds of social, environmental, and urban problems I			
seek to address			
Learning about the kinds of policy and planning solutions I seek to			
advance			
Making meaningful contributions to social or environmental justice			
efforts			
Acquiring skills to become a more effective practitioner.			
Clarifying my career/professional goals			
Securing a new position or advancing my position in a job held			
previously			
Developing professional networks			

Learning Outcomes Assessment URPL5050 Urban Development

Instructors: Carrie Makarewicz and Rodney Milton

CU Denver - Department of Urban and Regional Planning Proposed for Spring 2024

Instructional Goals

The course covers five main topic areas: 1) laws that set the stage for planning, and different types of plans; 2) the real estate development process, including development finance; 3) the development review process in relation to other plans and policies, such as parking, and zoning, as well as community engagement; 4) public finance; and 5) and impacts of development, including fiscal, transportation, and environmental.

After the course, students should be able to:

- Explain the comprehensive plan, its relationship to the zoning code, and how the plan regulates and guides other plans and private development.
- Understand sub-area plans in relation to the Comprehensive Plan and real estate development.
- Define land entitlements and provide examples.
- Explain how real estate developers shape their products to respond to the private market while staying within the regulations of the public sector.
- Be able to describe the key players and processes for a development project.
- Understand the risks involved in development and the complex resulting processes and timelines of real estate development and development finance.
- Describe the general and specific types of city policies, ordinances, review processes, and governing bodies that guide the development process, e.g., development review committees, variance procedures, plan commissions, city council, zoning board, etc.
- Be familiar with the main types and sources of financing methods for development, e.g., debt, equity, grants, bonds, tax credits, etc.
- Be familiar with the sources of revenues and other funding sources that local governments use for public capital improvements (G.O. bonds, revenue bonds, redevelopment notes (TIF), enterprise funds, and the general fund (sales, property, income taxes, fees, and intergovernmental grants).
- Explain why developers may be required to provide, upgrade, or offset costs to the
 jurisdiction through exactions, impact fees, dedications, etc. to provide or maintain,
 public infrastructure and how the public sector analyzes the fiscal impact of land
 development projects.

Assessment Plan

Learning Outcomes

The above instructional goals will be measured through the assessment of the following learning outcomes.

- Purpose and Meaning of Planning: why planning is undertaken by communities, cities, regions, and nations, and the impact planning is expected to have. Demonstrated through your Summary of Modules 1 & 2.
- **Planning Law:** legal and institutional contexts within which planning occurs. *Demonstrated through your Modules Summaries and the Completed Project Case Study*
- **Human Settlements and History of Planning:** growth and development of places over time and across space. *Demonstrated through your Completed Project Case Study, particularly the neighborhood/district context*.
- **The Future:** understanding of the relationships between past, present, and future in planning domains, as well as the potential for methods of design, analysis, and intervention to influence the future. *This will be evaluated through the Site Plan exercises and the development pro forma.*
- **Research:** tools for assembling and analyzing ideas and information from prior practice and scholarship, and from primary and secondary sources. *Demonstrated through the data collected and analyzed for the Completed Project Case Study and the Proforma*
- Written, Oral and Graphic Communication: ability to prepare clear, accurate and compelling text, graphics, and maps for use in documents and presentations. Demonstrated through your portion of the final presentation on the Completed Project Case Study
- Quantitative and Qualitative methods: data collection, analysis and modeling tools for forecasting, policy analysis, and design of projects and plans. *Demonstrated through four assignments: individual data collected for your case study, your decision process in the Staff Report; the Site Plan Review Exercise; and the Proforma.*
- **Plan Creation and Implementation:** integrative tools useful for sound plan formulation, adoption, and implementation and enforcement. *Demonstrated through the Staff Report and the Completed Project Case Study, including the evaluation and comparison of the city's comprehensive plan, subdivision ordinance, and zoning code.*
- Planning Process Methods: tools for stakeholder involvement, community engagement, and working with diverse communities. We will review a variety of community engagement tools and discuss their effectiveness in terms of promoting equity, inclusivity, and democracy through readings and in-class case study. You will also assess the planning or project approval process for your Completed Project Case Study.
- **Professional Ethics and Responsibility:** appreciation of key issues of planning ethics and related questions of the ethics of public decision-making, research, and client representation (including principles of the AICP Code of Ethics). *In class discussions, readings, and the Staff Report memo will reinforce issues of ethics and responsibility.*
- Equity, Diversity and Social Justice: key issues in equity, diversity, and social justice that emphasize planners' role in expanding choice and opportunity for all persons, plan for the needs of the disadvantaged, reduce inequities through critical examination of past and

- current systems and disparities, and promote racial and economic integration.

 Demonstrated through your exploration of the plans and zoning in your Completed Project

 Case Study in relation to the Zoning for Equity policy guide, and through your reflection

 memo on the Staff Report.
- **Governance and Participation:** appreciation of the roles of officials, stakeholders, and community members in planned change. *Demonstrated through the discussion of governance and participation in your Completed Project Case Study, including the evaluation of the city's comp plan and zoning code, and in the memo portion of the Staff Report.*
- **Growth and Development:** appreciation of economic, social, and cultural factors in urban and regional growth and change. Your understanding of these factors will be evaluated through the staff report and the review of the factors that influenced your Completed Project Case Study, and how the project is performing in relation to its use and surroundings.
- **Health and Built Environment:** planning's implications on individual and community health in the places where people live, work, play and learn. We will incorporate discussions of health and wellbeing throughout the course, but especially in the first module when we are discussing plans, including ULI's Healthy Places guide, and the third module when we discuss development evaluation tools. You will submit a reading response on ULI's Health Places quide in

		Assessment Outcomes		
Learning Outcome	Assessment Type(s)	Assessment Method	Results	Interpretation
Purpose and Meaning of Planning	Modules 1 & 2 Summary: written review of first 9 weeks of course content in their words, one slide per week.	 Mod. 1: Does the student correctly describe the relationships among plans, how they relate to zoning, and help to guide development? Mod. 2: Does student connect development evaluation tools, e.g. HIA, FIAs, etc. to the purpose of planning 		
Planning Law	Module summary: weeks 2 and 3 Completed Project Case study: individual submission on the planner interview, and supplemental research that documents the institutional approval process and regulatory context for their case.	 Accuracy and demonstration of understanding from weeks 2 and 3 on the authority to plan and the difference among 3 branches of government. Do they document the process for the development's approval using the correct terms and demonstrate an understanding of the groups involved, and the laws and regulations that were utilized to approve the project? 		
Human Settlements	Completed Project Case Study- final memo on the influences that led to the project, and how the project is or may affect the surrounding area.	The student's discussion of the new development goes beyond the technical details of the project and considers its users, uses, activity, response to market influences, and potential impacts, whether positive, neutral, or negative. They also analyze how the final project reflects the plans and regulations, e.g., zoning, subdivision ordinance, that determined its size, placement, use, circulation, and design.		

		Assessment Outcomes		
Learning Outcome	Assessment Type(s)	Assessment Method	Results	Interpretation
The Future	Site Plan exercise Development Proforma	 Discussion of the development's site proposal and whether it complies with the zoning code and how the site plan review ensures future development is safe, in line with plans, and specific to the context, or not. Cover memo accompanying the proforma: do they describe the market for the development and the assumptions used for their lease/sale rates, future vacancies, costs escalations, etc.? Student evaluates the developer request in their staff report relative to the future plans for the area and how a zoning variance algins with the future goals for the area. 	RESULES	interpretation
Research	 Completed Project case study Proforma Staff report 	 Student effectively identifies and utilizes primary and secondary sources to gather required information on their case study. Student used legitimate and innovative sources to determine appropriate input costs, expenditures, and revenue projections for their project. 		

		Assessment Outcomes		
Learning Outcome	Assessment Type(s)	Assessment Method	Results	Interpretation
Quantitative and Qualitative methods	Site plan review exercise Proforma Completed Project Case Study	 Student accurately uses measurements and other details to evaluate whether a proposed project's site plan meets the various requirements in the zoning code and subdivision ordinance. Student's proforma "pencils out" using logical inputs that were well researched and accurate projection/forecast and investment values. Their IRR is within the required band. Student gathers qualitative information for their case study to study its genesis, development process, and current state, including site visits, interviews, and content analysis. 		
Plan Creation & Implementation	Staff report Completed Project Case study	 Student accurately assess the planning documents that relate to the proposed zoning variance application and whether or not development within the requested zoning will meet the planning objectives for the area. Student fully assesses how well the development meets the city's zoning and planning goals, thereby helping to implement the city's plans. They also recognize the limits to plans and the level of detail in them compared to the site level. 		

Assessment Outcomes						
Learning Outcome	Assessment Type(s)	Assessment Method	Results	Interpretation		
Planning Process methods	Completed project case study	Student describes the public engagement process, if any, required for their development, as well as the final project approval. If no public engagement was required or utilized, they discuss the appropriateness of the by-right approval and how it is dependent on prior public engagement with the zoning code and plan adoption. In-class discussion not assessed.				
Professional Ethics	Staff report	In the Staff Report reflection memo,				
and Responsibility	• In-class discussions	the student thoughtfully reflects on the criteria used to judge their recommendation for the zoning variance; whether they felt the criteria and guidance helped them to make their judgement with full consideration of the impact on the public, and fairness to the land owner, and how their decision process was supported by the code of ethics and professional responsibility. • In-class discussions not assessed				
Equity, diversity, and social justice	Completed Project Case Study Reflection memo on the staff report	 Comparison of the zoning governing their project using the Zoning for Equity policy guide. Discussion of equity, diversity, and social justice in their reflection of the projects request for a zoning variance and including whether the process is fair, if JEDI is considered sufficiently in the review criteria 				

Assessment Outcomes							
Learning Outcome	Assessment Type(s)	Assessment Method	Results	Interpretation			
Governance and participation	Staff Report Completed Project Case Study	 Should the approval require public input? Why or why not? What was the process of approval for the development: if by-right, were plans and codes that guide it sufficiently developed with public input? If it's not by-right was the process robust, ensuring input from affected stakeholders? 					
Health and Built Environment	Reading response comparing ULI's Healthy Places guide to the Plan 4 Health, and other health in planning guides.	Student demonstrates understanding of the various measures used in planning for healthy communities and the differences in terminology, goals, values, purposes, and outcomes among the different community health planning guides and best practices.					

MURP Thesis/Masters Research Project Evaluation

Please rate the student work using the criteria below. The indicators under the main headings provide guidance to help you evaluate the overall competence of the specific area listed. Based on the student's topic, certain indicators may be more emphasized than others. Please assess each major heading. Indicate your assessment of each heading below by checking the box corresponding to the value you determine to be appropriate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is the weakest and 5 is the strongest.

Student name (below)	Thesis/Musicis Research Froject title (below)				
Reviewer Name (below)	Position/Affiliation (below)				
		-			st
		weakest			onaes
	the thesis or masters research project demonstrates	<i>9</i> ⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄⁄	_	3	4 5
Understanding Planning Knowledge	the thesis of musicis research project aemonstrates	1	Ź	3	4 3
	an understanding of the issues and the general body of knowledge of the profession of urban and regional planning. The literature review, including application of relevant precedent and theory, should inform the final product.	1	2	3	4 5
		_	_	_	_
Professional Skills			2	2	4 5
	core professional skills in urban and regional planning.	1	2	3	4 5
Ethics					
	demonstrates understanding of ethics in the field. The methodology and recommendations are reflective of and incorporate planning ethics.	1	2	3	4 5
Critical Thinking Skills					
	the ability to think critically, analytically and logically. The results of research, analysis, synthesis are defensible and logical, and significantly inform the final product.	1	2	3	4 5
Effective Communication/Professionalism					
	professional skills in the areas of visual, oral and written communications.	1	2	3	4 5
			_		
Research Methodologies and Conclusions	weath and allowing was ultra of the vaccount findings and conductions are unapprinted to vaccount.				
	methodologies, results of the research findings, and conclusions appropriate to research focus.	1	2	3	4 5
			_		
Comments from Reviewers	along any ide suggestion for improving this qualitation form and average				
	please provide suggestions for improving this evaluation form and process.				
For Committee Mem	nbers: The committee has examined the candidate and has adjudged his/her performance:				
Satisfactory:	Unsatisfactory:				

URP 6341 Urban Planning Project (Studio) Review

Please rate the studio presentation and supporting materials using the criteria below. The indicators under the numbered major headings provide guidance on each of these headings. Please assess each major heading. Indicate your assessment of each heading below by checking the box corresponding to the value you determine to be appropriate on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is the weakest and 5 is the strongest. You can provide additional comments under each heading.

URP 6341 - Title of Studio (below)	Student Group - Names (below)					
		_				_
		est				strongest
		weakest				ron
	Students:	≥ 1	2	3	4	St
1. Background and Inventory	statents.		_		1	
,	synthesize information gathered in field observations and other data collection in graphic	Т	Т	Т	Т	
	and written form reflecting comprehension, representation, and use of relevant ideas and	1	2	3	4	5
	information in the planning field.					
Comments:						
2. Policy and Plan Review						
	demonstrate research and critical thinking skills reflecting comprehension and use of	1	2	2	4	_
	relevant ideas and information in the planning field.	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:						
3. Identification and Analysis of Opportunities a	and Constraints					
	combine, analyze, and synthesize information from multiple sources to support decision-	4	2	2	4	_
	making.	1		3	4	5
Comments:						
4. Assessment of Applicable Best Practices						
	assess and synthesize best practices relevant to the project.	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:						
5. Values and Ethics						
	demonstrate an understanding of professional ethics and responsibility and engagement	1	2	3	4	5
	with social justice issues as relevant.	1		,	7	J
Comments:						
6. Communication Skills						
	apply professional visual, oral, and written communication skills.	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:						
		_	_	_	_	
7. Group Participation						
	show evidence of coordination and teamwork.	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:						
						_
Comments from Reviewers						
Please provide any additional comments on indi	vidual students and the group as applicable:					
	1					

Community and Regional Planning: Student Learning Outcomes Student Self-Assessment at the Graduate Review

Please evaluate each outcome below:	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
A. Goal 1: Develop knowledge of theory, understand the historical context and know how to take reflective action. Work with historical and empirically based ideas to evaluate how communities work and formulate appropriate planned interventions.						
A.1. Outcome 1: Students can develop and interpret strategies grounded in both historical context and data to recommend planning interventions in local, regional, national, and/or international issues.						
Comments B. Goal 2: Develop practical knowledge about how communities work through a social, economic, political and cultural institutions and organizations function of the social communities.			e manner	· in which		
B.1. Outcome 2: Students learn how to assess the ways communities interact with institutions and organizations that manage natural, built and local economic systems including land use, land tenure, and local economic development and other resources for mutual well-being.						
Comments						
C. Goal 3: Use analytic methods and geospatial tools for determining the social conditions of communities in order to determine and measure change over time		mic, and	environn	nental		
C.1. Outcome 3: Students are able to use quantitative and qualitative analysis to produce insights into planning problems and communicate outcomes clearly and effectively to diverse audiences and in a variety of verbal, graphic and written formats.						
Comments D. Goal 4: Develop skills in plan- and policy-making by knowing the elements of local and regional, comprehensive and strategic plans and their related policies. Students know the relationship between policy guidance and regulatory requirements in physical planning, economic and community development, and natural resource and environmental health. D.1. Outcome 4: Students produce rigorous, complete, well-written, and						
graphically pleasing community-based plans, policies and/or critical analyses.						
Comments E. Goal 5: Act in a variety of professional planning roles and engage in ethical economic stability, and sustainable development in the community applied research.	_	e seeking	social eq	quity,		
E.1. Outcome 5: Students demonstrate knowledge of the key roles planners play in place- and policy-making, and pursue solutions recognizing physical, cultural, economic, social and/or environmental sustainability.	-					
Comments						
Total Score for 5 Outcomes:						

Community and Regional Planning: Student Learning Outcomes Student Self-Assessment at Thesis/Professional Project Defense

Please evaluate each outcome below:	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)			
A. Goal 1: Develop knowledge of theory, understand the historical context and know how to take reflective action. Work with historical and empirically based ideas to evaluate how communities work and formulate appropriate planned interventions.							
A.1. Outcome 1: Students can develop and interpret strategies grounded in both historical context and data to recommend planning interventions in local, regional, national, and/or international issues.							
Comments B. Goal 2: Develop practical knowledge about how communities work through a social, economic, political and cultural institutions and organizations function of the social communities.			e manner	in which			
B.1. Outcome 2: Students learn how to assess the ways communities interact with institutions and organizations that manage natural, built and local economic systems including land use, land tenure, and local economic development and other resources for mutual well-being.							
Comments							
C. Goal 3: Use analytic methods and geospatial tools for determining the social, economic, and environmental conditions of communities in order to determine and measure change over time.							
C.1. Outcome 3: Students are able to use quantitative and qualitative analysis to produce insights into planning problems and communicate outcomes clearly and effectively to diverse audiences and in a variety of verbal, graphic and written formats.							
Comments			•				
D. Goal 4: Develop skills in plan- and policy-making by knowing the elements comprehensive and strategic plans and their related policies. Students know the guidance and regulatory requirements in physical planning, economic and compresource and environmental health.	relatio	nship bet	ween pol				
D.1. Outcome 4: Students produce rigorous, complete, well-written, and graphically pleasing community-based plans, policies and/or critical analyses.							
Comments E. Goal 5: Act in a variety of professional planning roles and engage in ethical	practice	e seeking	social ed	quity,			
economic stability, and sustainable development in the community applied research. Outcome 5: Students demonstrate knowledge of the key roles planners	arch.			-			
play in place- and policy-making, and pursue solutions recognizing physical, cultural, economic, social and/or environmental sustainability.							
Comments							
Total Score for 5 Outcomes:							

Community and Regional Planning: Student Learning Outcomes Thesis or Professional Project Committee Assessment of Student's Work at the Thesis or Professional Project Defense

Please evaluate each outcome below:	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
A. Goal 1 : Develop knowledge of theory, understand the historical context and know how to take reflective action. Work with historical and empirically based ideas to evaluate how communities work and formulate appropriate planned interventions.						
A.1. Outcome 1: Students can develop and interpret strategies grounded in both historical context and data to recommend planning interventions in local, regional, national, and/or international issues.						
Comments B. Goal 2: Develop practical knowledge about how communities work through the communities wor			e manner	· in which		
social, economic, political and cultural institutions and organizations function of B.1. Outcome 2: Students learn how to assess the ways communities interact with institutions and organizations that manage natural, built and local economic systems including land use, land tenure, and local economic development and other resources for mutual well-being.	and inte	ract.				
Comments						
C. Goal 3: Use analytic methods and geospatial tools for determining the social conditions of communities in order to determine and measure change over time		mic, and	environn	nental		
C.1. Outcome 3: Students are able to use quantitative and qualitative analysis to produce insights into planning problems and communicate outcomes clearly and effectively to diverse audiences and in a variety of verbal, graphic and written formats.						
			•			
Comments D. Goal 4: Develop skills in plan- and policy-making by knowing the elements comprehensive and strategic plans and their related policies. Students know the guidance and regulatory requirements in physical planning, economic and commersource and environmental health. D.1. Outcome 4: Students produce rigorous, complete, well-written, and graphically pleasing community-based plans, policies and/or critical analyses.	relatio	nship bet	ween pol			
Comments E. Goal 5: Act in a variety of professional planning roles and engage in ethical economic stability, and sustainable development in the community applied reserved. E.1. Outcome 5: Students demonstrate knowledge of the key roles planners play in place- and policy-making, and pursue solutions recognizing physical, cultural, economic, social and/or environmental sustainability.		e seeking	social eq	quity,		
Comments	_					
Total Score for 5 Outcomes:						